U.S. ARMY CAH- R-03-05 Center for Army Analysis

6,2

INDUSTRIAL BASE MODEL DATA REQUIREMENTS (IBMDR)

APRIL 2003



Reproduced From Best Available Copy

CENTER FOR ARMY ANALYSIS 6001 GOETHALS ROAD FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060-5230

20070516065

CAAA.

DISCLAIMER

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision unless so designated by other official documentation. Comments or suggestions should be addressed to:

Director Center for Army Analysis ATTN: CSCA- RA 6001 Goethals Road Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5230

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188		
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503					
1. AGENCY USE ONLY	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE A		RED	
(Leave blank)	April 2003	Final, Feb 2003, A	April 3003		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE INDUSTRIAL BASE MODEL DATA REQUIREMENTS (IBMDR) IBMDR 6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Charles R. Leake			5. FUNDING NUM	BER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
Center for Army Analysis					
OUT GOETHAR IVAG			CAA-R-03-05		
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5230					
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY					
FOR INFRASTRUC	CTURE ANALYSIS, ATT	'N: COL			
	453, 110 ARMY PENTAC				
WASHINGTON DO		,			
WASHINGTON DO	20310-0110				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
Approved for public rele	ease, dissemination unlimited		A		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 2	200 Words)				
The project determined if a data base existed that could be used in analysis of the organic Department of Defense					
(DOD) industrial base. This data base, although suitable for numerous analyses, did not contain all the data					
elements required for the, "Optimizing the Capacity and Operation of U. S. Army Ammunition Production					
Facilities" model, developed by Vedat Bayram at the Naval Postgraduate School. A new model would have to be developed or the current model changed to conduct an optimization study using the DOD data base presently					
	t model changed to conduct an	optimization study u	sing the DOD da	ta base presently	
available.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS			-	15. NUMBER OF	
ammunition, production, data base				PAGES A	
, F	,			21	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY 18	B. SECURITY	19. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF	
	LASSIFICATION OF THIS BACE	OF ABSTRACT		ABSTRACT	
OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED U	OF THIS PAGE INCLASSIFIED	I D. COL A GOUDADO			
NSN 7540-01-280-5500		UNCLASSIFIED		Lj	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500 Standard Form 298

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

INDUSTRIAL BASE MODEL DATA REQUIREMENTS (IBMDR)

SUMMARY

THE PROJECT PURPOSE is to determine the status of the data bases that are required for analyses of the industrial base

THE PROJECT SPONSOR was the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Infrastructure Analysis, ATTN: COL Weaver, RM 3D453, 110 ARMY PENTAGON, WASHINGTON DC 20310-0110

THE PROJECT OBJECTIVES were to:

- (1) Determine if the data is available for an analysis of the industrial base to include populating an existing model developed by NPS.
 - (2) Determine the quality of available data.

THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT is limited to the DOD organic industrial base production of ammunition.

THE MAIN ASSUMPTION is the productivity of the organic industrial base can be modeled using optimization methods.

THE PRINCIPAL FINDINGS are:

- (1) A list of data elements was obtained from the "Optimizing the Capacity and Operation of U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities" model developed by Vedat Bayram.
- (2) The Joint Munitions Command (JMC) has a website that provides points of contact for industrial base information.
- (3) There is a database, Preparedness Program Industrial Base Plan (PBP) with sufficient production information to conduct stationing analyses. However, cost information needed for the NPS model is not available in the database. A data list is provided.
- (4) The current database is dated, but the new database might provide some cost data. The usefulness of this data is unknown at this time.

THE PRINCIPAL RECOMMENDATIONS are:

(1) Investigate the possibility of obtaining the PBP data base in a user friendly format for modeling purposes.

- (2) Explore application of PBP data in stationing analyses for the industrial base.
- (3) The Center for Army Analysis (CAA) needs to become involved in the creation of the new PBP system.

THE PROJECT EFFORT was conducted by Dr Charles R. Leake

COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS may be sent to the Director, Center for Army Analysis, ATTN: CSCA-RA, 6001 Goethals Road, Suite 102, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-5230

		CONTENTS	Page
1		CTION	
1.1		FRIAL BASE MODEL DATA REPRESENTATION	
1.2	Backgro	ound	
1.3	Purpose	and Objectives	1
1.4	Scope		1
1.5	Assump	tions	1
1.6	Limitati	ons	1
1.7			
1.8	Principa	ıl Findings	2
1.9	Possibil	ities for CAA to consider	
API	PENDIX A	PROJECT CONTRIBUTORS	A-1
API	PENDIX B	REQUEST FOR ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	
APF	PENDIX C	BIBLIOGRAPHY	
API	PENDIX D	DATA LIST FOR MODEL	D-1
API	PENDIX E	LIST OF DOD PERSONNEL SURVEYED IN DATA SEARCH	E-1
API	PENDIX F	PBP DATABASE LIST OF FILES	F-1

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Industrial Base Model Data Representation

This study is about industrial data bases and their present status. It is sponsored by the DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS.

1.2 Background

The study is a follow-up to a thesis entitled "Optimizing the Capacity and Operations of the U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities" written at the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS). The thesis was written by Vedat Bayram. This study is to determine the data requirements to implement this thesis and their availability.

1.3 Purpose and Objectives

- (1) Purpose. We were asked to determine the availability of data for the "Optimizing the Capacity and Operations for the U.S. Army Ammunition Facilities" developed by Vedat Bayram at the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS).
 - (2) The objectives of the study were:
- (a) Determine if the data is available for an analysis of the industrial base to include populating an existing model developed by NPS.
 - (b) Determine the quality of available data.

1.4 Scope

The scope of this study is limited to the DoD organic industrial base production of ammunition.

15 Assumptions

The productivity of the organic industrial base can be modeled using optimization.

i á Limitations

There are no limitations to this study.

1

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Industrial Base Model Data Representation

This study is about industrial data bases and their present status. It is sponsored by the DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF THE ARMY FOR INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS.

1.2 Background

The study is a follow-up to a thesis entitled "Optimizing the Capacity and Operations of the U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities" written at the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS). The thesis was written by Vedat Bayram. This study is to determine the data requirements to implement this thesis and their availability.

13 Purpose and Objectives

- (1) Purpose. We were asked to determine the availability of data for the "Optimizing the Capacity and Operations for the U.S. Army Ammunition Facilities" developed by Vedat Bayram at the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS).
 - (2) The objectives of the study were:
- (a) Determine if the data is available for an analysis of the industrial base to include populating an existing model developed by NPS.
 - (b) Determine the quality of available data.

1.4 Scope

The scope of this study is limited to the DoD organic industrial base production of ammunition.

15 Assumptions

The productivity of the organic industrial base can be modeled using optimization.

There are no limitations to this study.

1.7 Results

The POCs were contacted and a data file was provided which contains usable numerical data. This data file is: the JMC Industrial Preparedness Program Industrial Base Plan (PBP). The list of data from this file is to be found in Appendix F.

1.8 Principal Findings

The principal findings were:

- (1) A list of data elements was obtained from the "Optimizing the Capacity and Operation of U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities" model developed by Vedat Bayram This list is to be found in Appendix D.
- (2) The Joint Munitions Command (JMC) has a website that provides points of contact for industrial base information.
- (3) There is a database, Preparedness Program Industrial Base Plan (PBP) with sufficient production information to conduct stationing analyses. However, cost information needed for the NPS model is not available in the database. A data list is provided for the PBD in Appendix F.
- (4) The current database is dated, but a new database being considered might provide some cost data. The usefulness of this new database is unknown at this time.

1.9 Possibilities for CAA to consider

For the present, investigate the possibility of obtaining the PBP in a more user friendly format. Although some portions of it might be dated, it still contains a great deal of useful information that could form the basis for a study of the DOD industrial base. With this in mind, CAA might consider developing an optimization model using the PBP. CAA also needs to become involved in the creation of the new PBP system. Additionally CAA should consider attending production and demilitarization meetings to keep up with the latest production and demilitarization efforts of AMC.

APPENDIX A PROJECT CONTRIBUTORS

1. PROJECT TEAM

- a. Project Director:
- Dr. Charles R. Leake
- b. Team Members:
- c. Other Contributors:

LTC William Tarantino

2. PRODUCT REVIEWERS

Dr. Ralph E. Johnson, Quality Assurance

3. EXTERNAL CONTRIBUTORS

David Fair Program Executive Officer, Ammunition

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

APPENDIX B REQUEST FOR ANALYTICAL SUPPORT

P Performing Division:

RA

Account Number:

2003105

A Tasking:

Mode (Contract-Yes/No):

In-house

R Acronym: IBMDR

Title: Industrial Base Model Data Requirements

1 Start Date: 03-Feb-03

Estimated Completion Date:

30-Apr-03

Requestor/Sponsor (i.e., DCSOPS): DASA(IA)

Sponsor Division:

Resource Estimates:

a. Estimated PSM:

3 b. Estimated Funds:

\$0.00

c. Models to be Used: None

Description/Abstract: To determine what data is needed to represent the industrial base in a linear programming mathematical model as well as its quality and availability.

Study Director/POC Signature:

Phone#: 703-806-5136

Study Director/POC: Dr. Charles Leake

P Background: This is the follow-up to a thesis written by Vedat Bayram at Naval Postgraduate School entitled "Optimizing the Capacity and Operation of U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities". This study is to determine the data requirements to implement this model and their availability.

Α

R

 \mathbf{T}

Scope: The study is to be done in-house by CAA analysts

2

Issues: The issues for this analysis are: 1. Can a list of data requirements for the above model be developed? 2. Can data be obtained for the variables identified in the list? 3. What is the quality of the data? 4. How long will it take to assemble the data? 4. What resources will be required to obtain the data?

Milestones: The study is to take approximately 3 months to complete. ARB at month 1. Final product will be a listing of data at month 3.

Signatures Division Chief Signature: Original Signed and Dated

Date:

Division Chief Concurrence: Original Signed and Dated

Sponsor Signature: Original Signed and Dated

Date:

Sponsor Concurrence (COL/DA Div Chief/GO/SES):

Original Signed and Dated

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

B-2

APPENDIX C BIBLIOGRAPHY

Vedat Bayram, Optimizing the Capacity and Operation of U.S. Army Ammunition Production Facilities, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, June 2002

IBMDR C-1

(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

C-2

APPENDIX D DATA LIST FOR MODEL

Description of data for model (Vedat Bayram, "Optimizing the capacity and operation of U.S. Army munitions production facilities, Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA, 2002, pp. 26-29)

1. Indices

List of Installations $i \in I$

List of Structures on Installations s,s' ∈ S

a. s is shelter

b. s' is shelter complex

Host installation for structure $s \in S$

i(s)

Process Center $p \in P$

(Hardware e.g. (small metal fab, LAP, energetics, ...))

Subset of structures $s \in S$ that can house process center p

 S_p

Process type {eg, small metal fab, LAP, energetics, ...} This set is T

 $t \in T$

Process type of process center $p \in P$

t(p)

Calendar year = $0, 1, ..., Y \sim 10$

 $y^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \in Y^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$

Years from decision to execution

lag

Frozen years $\{0, 1, ..., lag\}$

$$y^{\#} \in Y^{\#}$$

Planning years = $\{lag + 1, lag + 2, ... Y\} Y = Y^{+} - Y^{\#}$ y, y' \in Y

Mode of operation {ACTIVEGOGO, ACTIVEGOCO, ACTIVEGOC, INACTIVEGOCO, INACTIVEGOCO (INAC

 $m^+ \in M^+$

Modes if operation when entity is "kept", $M = M' \setminus \{disposed\}$

 $m, m' \in M$

"Open-and-working" modes of operation
{ACTIVEGOGO, ACTIVEGOCO, ACTIVEFGC}

 $m^* \in M^*$

"Closed" modes of operation
{INACTIVEGOGO, INACVTIEGOCO, INACTIVECOCO, INACTIVEFGC|

 $m^{\#} \in M^{\#}$

Set of admissible transitions from open $m \in M$, to $m^+ \in M^+$

 $\{m, m^{\dagger}\} \in MM$

Set of admissible transitions from open $m^* \in M^*$ to $m^+ \in M^+$

 $\{m^{\bigstar},m^{+\}}\in MSM$

Set of admissible transisitions from closed $m^{\#} \in M^{\#}$ to $m^{+} \in M^{+}$

 $\{m^{\#}, m^{+}\} \in MCM$

2. Data required

Replenishment capacity required in year y for process type t (tons) replencapty

Demand in year y for training rounds from process type t(tons)

work2train t,y

Tons produced per shift by process center p in (tons/shift) shiftyield py

Maximum work capacity of process center p in year y working in mode m* (shifts) workmax p,y,m*

Minimum work capacity of process center p in year y working in mode m* (shifts) workmin p,y,m*

Space capacity of structure s (space) sructspace s

Space requirement of process center p (space) procspace p

Fixed cost(s) to keep installation i during year y in mode m, and transition to mode m⁺ the next year, incurred in y'(\$)

icost i,y,m+,y'

Fixed cost(s) to keep structure s during year y in mode m, and transition to mode m⁺ the next year, incurred in y' (\$)

scost s,y,m+,y'

Fixed cost(s) to keep process center p during year y in mode m, and transition to mode m⁺ the next year, incurred in y' (\$)

pcost p,y,m+,y'

Fixed cost(s) to move process center p during year y from structure s in installation i(s) to structure s' in installation i(s'), incurred in y'(\$) mcost p.v.s.s'.v'

Variable cost of working process center p during year y in mode m* (\$/shift) mcost p,y,m*

3. Decision Variables

in year y, keep installation i in mode m and transition to mode m⁺ the next year (binary)

OPERATE_{i,y+,m,m+'}

In year y, keep structure s in mode m, and transition to mode m+ the next year (binary) HOUSE s,y+,m,m+'

Process center p housed in structure s in year y, and both are open-and-working (binary) KEEP p,y+,m,m+

Process center p housed in structure s in year y, and both are open-and-working (binary) $\underline{RUN}_{p,y,s}$

In year y, move process center p from structure s to s' (binary) $MOVE_{p,y^+,s,s'}$

Operation of process center p in open-and-working mode m* during year y (shifts) $WORK_{p,y,m*}$

APPENDIX E LIST OF DOD PERSONNEL SURVEYED IN DATA SEARCH

The following is a listing of the individuals which I have contacted in my survey. The list may not be in chronological order. I requested the availability and quality of data that related to the productivity of items. I contacted a number of facilities and organizations in the course of my survey. I informed the individuals my survey was at the request of DA. Some individuals wanted to know specifics about the use of a model. My information which I passed on to them was that there was a model developed at NPS which was being considered, but at this time no model was selected. The contacting of the AAPs was suggested by Mr. Motsek as they would be a good source for possible data.

Radford AAP

LTC Bryan Butler Betty Roop Richard Chapman Robert Davie

DCMA

Robert Lauk Charlie Heyel

Rock Island

Alan Buester Debbie Fordham

AMC-PICA

Kevin Fahi Matthew Zimmerman

AMC-G3

Jim Sullivan Mr. Barnett Gene Zeigler

Hawthorne AAP

Tiny Cardenas

Blue Grass AAP Tina Di Guglielmo

Joel Hallenberger

Lone Star AAP

Mr. Halton LTC Barone Madison Bagley

Milan AAP

Britt Locke

Iowa AAP

LTC Lowman Mike Hunter

Mc Alester AAP

Paul McDaniel Mike Hughes

Crane AAP

Listed telephone was out of service

Lake City AAP

LTC James Jones

Louisiana AAP

Jim Hawley

Kansas AAP

Don Dailey

Lone Star AAP

Ken Elliot Don Schanley Rich Sai

AMC COL Walker Gary Motsek LTC prendergast Stan Kahn

Scranton AAP

Emil Kovalchik

Holston AAP

Sue Thacker Martha Durham Jerry Hammonds Mike Mills

Mississippi AAP

Ken Spiers Wayne Pouguet John Cecconi

Riverbank AAP

Del Clemens Robert Mente

Production Staff AMMO

MAJ Grossenheider LTC Tom Prendergast Stan Kahn Robert Lauck Ray Goldstein

I was also called by Dave Fair, PEO Ammunition, at the request of Matt Zimmerman.

Miscellaneous

Jenkins RQ Wessel DEMIL Hornsby DEMIL Gary Radicic DEMIL

(THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

E-4 IBMDR

APPENDIX F PBP DATABASE LIST OF FILES

Production Base Plan List of Data Elements

List of planned producers (Arsenals and contractors)

Location of each producers

List of planned end items

Yearly production of each end item planned from FY 02 to FY 07

Planned schedule for each end item

Monthly schedule for each end item produced only

Monthly schedule for each end item produced concurrently

Producer for each end item

Production line for each end item

List of planned components

Yearly production of each planned component from FY 02 to FY 07

List of components for each end item with production factor needed per end item

Planned schedule for each planned component

Monthly schedule for each planned component produced only

Monthly schedule for each planned component produced concurrently

Producer for each planned component

Production line for each planned component

*Remarks which indicate percent of production line available when either component or end item is being produced concurrently.

*The monthly schedule appears to include start-up time and learning curve.

(THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

F-2